

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

				www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMERNATIONAL
09/919,533	09/919,533 07/		John Frederick Hayfield	CRYO/US-56	CONFIRMATION NO. 4377
22875 GERALD W	7590 SPINKS	03/18/2003			
P. O. BOX 2330 PORT ORCHARD, WA 98366				EXAM	INER
				CUEVAS,	PEDRO J
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	09/919,533	HAYFIELD, JOHN FREDERICK
	amee notion duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAII ING DATE of this	Pedro J. Cuevas	2834
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
- Ext afte - If th - If th - Any earr Status	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uncertainty of the period for reply will, by significant the period for reply will, by significant the period for reply will, by significant the period for the period by the Office later than three months after the management of the period for the per	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real.  The reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON attention to become AB, and the cause the application to become AB, and the cause of this communication, even if the cause of this communication.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>31 December 2002</u> .	
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Disposit	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	04400000	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 5-9 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	The second designation.	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and properts	d/or election requirement.	
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Exami	ner	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cented or b) Cobjected to built	<b>.</b>
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(a) he held!	_
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) dis	Ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	" approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action	approved by the Examiner.
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
riority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 25 H C C s 4	(407.) (1)
a) <u></u> [	All b) Some * c) None of:	an priority drider 35 U.S.C. 9 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
_	. Certified copies of the priority documer	ots have been received	
2	Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	Band and
	Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B e the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage
14) Ac	Knowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tion the certified copies not rec	eived.
a)[	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes  The translation of the foreign language pr	ovinional applies:	19(e) (to a provisional application).
,	mismission is made of a cialiff for domes	tic priority under 35 H S C SS	received.
		p	120 and/or 121.
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Infor 0) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

14

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 5-9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Stokes. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,642,088 A to Unger in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,850,100 to Stokes.

Unger disclose the construction of a magnet support sleeve, comprising:

- a hollow cylindrical metal support sleeve (22);
- a plurality of magnets (20) positioned on a surface (24) of said support sleeve;
- at least one mounting bracket (32) fastened to said support sleeve;
- at least one angled lip (100) on said at least one mounting bracket.

However, it fails to disclose:

a plurality of beveled bearing surfaces on said plurality of magnets, each said bearing surface being beveled at a first acute angle relative to said support sleeve; and Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

each said bearing surface being contacted by said at least one lip on said mounting bracket, angled at a second acute angle relative to said support sleeve, to hold said plurality of magnets in place on said support sleeve.

Stokes teach the method of making a rotor assembly having:

magnets (24, 26, 28, 30) with at two beveled bearing surface (Figure 3) on the magnets, said bearing surface being beveled at a first acute angle; and

wherein each said bearing surface is being contacted by said at least one lip on said mounting bracket, and angled at a second acute angle relative to said support sleeve, for the purpose of holding said plurality of magnets in place, and producing an increasing or decreasing magnetic field as the encoder moves onto or off a sensor.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the tapered magnets disclosed by Chitayat on the magnet support sleeve disclosed by Unger for the purpose of holding said plurality of magnets in place, and producing an increasing or decreasing magnetic field as the encoder moves onto or off a sensor.

- 5. With regards to claims 6, Unger in view of Stokes disclose at least one bracket comprising a mounting ring circumferentially fastened to said support sleeve, and said at least one angled lip extends from said at least one mounting ring over said plurality of beveled bearing surfaces on said plurality of magnets.
- 6. With regards to claim 8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to set the first acute angle greater than the second acute angle, and between two and four degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions

Application/Control Number: 09/919,533

Art Unit: 2834

of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves

Page 4

only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

7. With regards to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to set the first acute angle to be about 45 degrees, since it

has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only

routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nestor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular

communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Pedro J. Cuevas March 12, 2003 MESTON RAMINEZ

SUPPRVISORY PATELLY EXAMINER

TECHNOLO EN DENTUE 2800